

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 661 (2002) 137-147



www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

Iridium-containing cumulenes: how to prepare and how to use

Helmut Werner*, Kerstin Ilg, Raimund Lass, Justin Wolf

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

Received 29 May 2002; accepted 15 July 2002

Dedicated to Professor Pierre Braunstein for his continuous support of the collaborations in the frame of the Sonderforschungsbereich 347

Abstract

This microreview summarizes the work on iridium-containing cumulenes of the general compositions *trans*-[IrX{=C(= C)_nRR'}(PiPr₃)₂] with n = 1, 2, 3 and 4, which recently has been carried out in our laboratory. It is shown that all the parent compounds with X = Cl and an Ir=C=C, Ir=C=C=C, Ir=C=C=C=C or Ir=C=C=C=C=C chain can be prepared using [IrH₂Cl(PiPr₃)₂] as the starting material. Independent on the length of the chain, the iridiacumulenes are highly reactive to both nucleophiles and electrophiles. For n = 1 and 3, the corresponding chloro complexes can be converted to organometallic derivatives *trans*-[IrR"{= C(=C)_nRR'}(PiPr₃)₂], which in the presence of CO smoothly undergoe migratory insertion reactions. Upon treatment with CO, the azido compounds *trans*-[Ir(N₃){=C(=C)_nRR'}(PiPr₃)₂] and *trans*-[Ir(OH)(=C=CRR')(PiPr₃)₂] behave as Broensted bases and react with HX (X = OPh, F, C=CR) by elimination of water and the formation of new iridiacumulenes containing an Ir-X bond. While the reactions of the chloro complexes *trans*-[IrCl(=C=C=CRR')(PiPr₃)₂] and *trans*-[IrCl(=C=C=CRR')(PiPr₃)₂] with HCl proceed by oxidative addition to afford neutral iridium(III) compounds, the iridium allenylidenes react with CF₃CO₂H in polar solvents to generate cationic iridium carbynes *trans*-[IrCl(=CCH=CRR')(PiPr₃)₂]X, being the first representatives of this type.

© 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cumulenes; Iridium; C-C bond formation; Oxidative addition; Migratory insertion

1. Introduction

In the context of an extensive investigation on electron-rich transition-metal complexes which behave as typical Lewis-bases [1], we previously found that in contrast to the compounds trans-[RhCl(RC≡ $(CR)(PiPr_3)_2$ (where R is methyl or phenyl), which react with NaC₅H₅ to give the alkyne complexes $[(\eta^5 C_5H_5$)Rh(RC=CR)(PiPr_3)], the corresponding starting materials trans-[RhCl(HC=CR)(PiPr_3)_2] (where R is H, methyl or phenyl) afford upon treatment with NaC₅H₅ exclusively the vinylidene counterparts $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh(=$ C=CHR)(PiPr₃)] [2]. While studying the mechanism of this reaction, we observed that in solution the fourcoordinate rhodium(I) precursors *trans*-[RhCl(HC= CR)(PiPr₃)₂] are relatively labile and rearrange at ambient temperatures to the vinylidene isomers *trans*-[RhCl(=C=CHR)(PiPr₃)₂] [3,4].

Since these vinylidenerhodium(I) complexes offer a rich chemistry indeed [5,6], we continued our work in this field first by preparing the next generation of unsaturated rhodium(I) carbenes of the general composition *trans*-[RhCl(=C=C=CRR')(PiPr_3)_2] [7], and second by generating the compound *trans*-[RhCl(=C=C=C=C=CPh_2)(PiPr_3)_2] with a linear RhC₅ chain [8]. We failed to find a synthetic entry to the related RhC₄ complex *trans*-[RhCl(=C=C=C=CPh_2)(PiPr_3)_2] but, most recently, developed a methodology to prepare the iridium analogue [9]. In the course of these studies we learned that despite the structural relationship between the rhodium(I) and iridium(I) compounds *trans*-[RhCl{=C(=C)_nRR'}(PiPr_3)_2] and *trans*-[IrCl{=C(=C)_nRR'}(PiPr_3)_2], the chemistry of these complexes

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-931-8815260; fax: +49-931-8884605

E-mail address: helmut.werner@mail.uni-wuerzburg.de (H. Werner).

H. Werner et al. | Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 661 (2002) 137-147



can be quite different. The present account summarizes our work regarding the synthesis and reactivity of iridiacumulenes (see Scheme 1), most of which has been carried out in the last 3-year period of the Sonderforschungsbereich 347. Published as well as unpublished results will be reported and, wherever it is appropriate, comparative lines to the related rhodium(I) compounds will be drawn.

2. [IrC₂]: the counterparts of allenes

There are two routes to prepare iridium(I) vinylidenes with a square-planar geometry: the first one uses the well-known bis(cyclooctene) derivative $[IrCl(C_8H_{14})_2]_2$, which reacts stepwise with $PiPr_3$ and terminal alkynes to give trans-[IrCl(HC=CR)(PiPr₃)₂]; subsequent rearrangement of these compounds yields trans-[IrCl(=C= CHR)(PiPr₃)₂] via the isolated and surprisingly stable alkynyl(hydrido)iridium(III) species [IrHCl(C≡ CR)(PiPr₃)₂] [10]. With MeC=CSiMe₃ instead of HC= CMe or HC=CSiMe₃ as substrate, the vinylidene complex trans-[IrCl{=C=C(SiMe_3)Me}(PiPr_3)_2] is formed from $[IrCl(C_8H_{14})_2]_2$, PiPr₃ and the alkyne via the spectroscopically detectable intermediate trans- $[IrCl(MeC \equiv CSiMe_3)(PiPr_3)_2]$ [11].

The second route uses the dihydridoiridium(III) compound $[IrH_2Cl(PiPr_3)_2]$ (1) as the precursor which upon treatment with acetylene gives *trans*- $[IrCl(=C=CH_2)(PiPr_3)_2]$ and with methylpropiolate the iridium(I) vinylidene 3 via the iridium(III) intermediate 2 (Scheme 2). The reaction of 1 with two equivalents of HC=CCO₂Me affords the bis(alkynyl) complex 4 [12].

The reactivity of the rhodium(I) and iridium(I) compounds *trans*-[MCl(=C=CRR')(PiPr_3)_2] (M = Rh, Ir) towards Grignard reagents is quite different. While the rhodium(I) vinylidenes react with R"MgX to give the expected substitution products *trans*-[Rh(R")(=C=CRR')(PiPr_3)_2] in good to excellent yield [6a], treatment of the iridium(I) counterparts with CH₃MgI or CH₂=CHMgBr in diethylether or THF leads to halide exchange and to the formation of the bromo and iodo



derivatives *trans*-[IrX(=C=CRR')(PiPr₃)₂] (X = Br, I) [13]. These compounds are more conveniently prepared by salt metathesis from *trans*-[IrCl(=C=CRR')(PiPr₃)₂] and NaBr or KI, respectively. The methyl, phenyl and alkynyl complexes *trans*-[Ir(R")(=C=CRR')(PiPr₃)₂] can be obtained, however, from the corresponding chloroiridium(I) species and R"Li. These results are exemplified in Scheme 3 with compound **5** as the precursor. The hydroxoiridium(I) derivative **9** can also be used as starting material for the synthesis of alkynyl(vinylidene) complexes such as **10** and **11** [13], thereby illustrating the fact that electron-rich transitionmetal compounds of the general type [M(OH)(L)_n] (M = Ru, Rh, Ir, Pd, Pt) behave as Broensted bases [14].

The reactions of the methyl, phenyl and alkynyl complexes 6-8 and *trans*-[Ir(CH₃)(=C=CHPh)(P*i*Pr₃)₂] (12) [10] with CO proceed by migratory insertion to give the four-coordinate carbonyl(vinyl)iridium(I) compounds 13-16 in excellent yields (Scheme 4). Due to the X-ray crystal structure analysis of 13 and the NMR









139



Scheme 4.

data of the other products, there is no doubt that the Zisomers with the more bulky substituents R' (SiMe₃, Ph) and $[Ir(CO)(PiPr_3)_2]$ in a *cis* orientation at the vinylic C=C double bond are exclusively formed [13]. With regard to the mechanism of formation of the iridium complexes 13-16, we assume that the attack of carbon monoxide at the metal center of the starting materials is accompanied by a concerted shift of the group R" to the α -carbon atom of the vinylidene unit. Although this proposal seems reasonable [15], the possibility that a short-lived five-coordinate intermediate [Ir(R")(CO)- $(=C=CRR')(PiPr_3)_2$ with a trigonal-bipyramidal geometry is generated cannot be excluded. If the sterically demanding phosphines are occupying the apical positions in this intermediate, the group R" and the vinylidene ligand would approach each other, thus reducing the barrier for the C-C coupling process. It is probably for steric reasons that the migration of \mathbf{R}'' is directed to that side of the Ir=C=CRR' fragment which is opposite to the phenyl or trimethylsilyl substituent. Indirect evidence for the stereochemical arrangement of the vinyl complexes 13, 14 and 16 is also provided by the observation that acid cleavage of the Ir-C σ -bond under mild conditions (25 °C, benzene) affords besides trans-[Ir(κ^1 -O₂CR)(CO)(PiPr₃)₂] (R = CH₃, CF₃) the corresponding olefin R''CH = CRR' with the two most bulky substituents R" and R' in *trans* disposition [13].

The chloroiridium(I) derivatives **3**, **5** and *trans*-[IrCl(=C=CHPh)(P*i*Pr₃)₂] (**17**) [10] react not only with NaBr and KI but also with excess NaN₃ by salt metathesis to afford the azido complexes **18–20** in about 90% yield (Scheme 5) [13]. The preparative procedure is quite similar to that used for the related rhodium compounds *trans*-[Rh(N₃)(CO)(PR₃)₂] (R = Ph, Cy) [16] and *trans*-[Rh(N₃)(=C=C=CRR')(P*i*Pr₃)₂] [17], re-



spectively. The azido derivatives 18 and 19 undergo in the presence of CO a migratory insertion reaction to give the cyano substituted alkyliridium(I) complexes 22, 23 and N₂. Compound 20 behaves similarly to some chloro(vinylidene) counterparts trans-[MCl(=C= $(CRR')(PiPr_3)_2$ (M = Rh, Ir) and affords upon treatment with CO by elimination of the free alkyne the azido(carbonyl) complex 21. Regarding the course of the reaction of 18-20 with carbon monoxide, we assume (in analogy to the formation of 13-16) that in the initial step an attack of CO at the iridium(I) center occurs and that the azido ligand migrates to the α -carbon atom of the vinylidene moiety. This migration is probably followed by elimination of N₂ and a subsequent 1,2shift of the metal from α -C to β -C of the IrC₂ unit. In analogy to the behavior of the vinyl compounds 13-16, the acid-induced cleavage of the Ir–C σ -bond in 22 and 23 leads to the acetonitrile derivatives $R'CH_2CN$.

Vinylideneiridium(I) complexes with the alkynyl group C=CSiMe₃ as a substituent at the β -carbon atom have been prepared from the dihydridoiridium(III) compound 1 and divnes [11]. Similarly to the reaction of 1 with HC=CCO₂Me (see Scheme 2), treatment of 1 with an equimolar amount of HC=CC=CSiMe₃ affords the five-coordinate, very air-sensitive diynyl(hydrido) complex 24 (Scheme 6), which rearranges in toluene at 60 °C to give the vinylideneiridium(I) isomer 25 in good yield. The corresponding derivative 26 with SiMe₃ instead of H at the β -carbon atom of the IrC₂ chain can be obtained either on thermal or photochemical isomerization of the divne precursor *trans*-[IrCl(η^2 - $Me_3SiC \equiv CC \equiv CSiMe_3)(PiPr_3)_2$ or on photolysis of a 1:1 mixture of 1 and Me₃SiC=CC=CSiMe₃ by elimination of H₂ [11]. In contrast to the related rhodium *trans*-[RhCl(η^2 -Me₃SiC = CC = CSiMe₃)compound $(PiPr_3)_2$, the iridium analogue **26** is surprisingly inert toward hydrolysis. In this context it is interesting to note

NMR time scale.



that both **5** (see Scheme 3) and *trans*-[IrCl{=C=C(Si-Me₃)Ph}(PiPr₃)₂] react with deactivated Al₂O₃ (activity grade V) in hexane to give the β -CH derivatives *trans*-[IrCl(=C=CHR)(PiPr₃)₂] (R = Me, Ph) by protolytic cleavage of the C-Si bond [11].

3. [IrC₃]: the counterparts of butatrienes

The synthetic route leading to the iridium(I) allenylidenes *trans*-[IrCl{=C=C=C(Ph)R}(PiPr_3)_2] (**30**, **31**) followed the methodology originally developed by Selegue for cationic half-sandwich type ruthenium compounds $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ru(=C=C=CRR')(PPh_3)_2]X$ [18]. Treatment of **1** with propargylyic alcohols HC= CCPh(R)OH in pentane or hexane at ambient temperatures leads to the formation of the iridium(III) derivatives **27** and **28** (Scheme 7), which were isolated as red crystalline solids in 80–85% yield [11,19]. If instead of HC=CCPh₂(OH) or HC=CCPh(*t*Bu)OH the diisopropyl-substituted alcohol HC=CC(*i*Pr)₂OH is used as substrate, the corresponding alkynyl(hydrido)iridi-



um(III) complex [IrHCl{C=CC(*i*Pr)=CMe₂}(P*i*Pr₃)₂] is generated by elimination of water. The conversion of 27 and 28 to the metal allenvlidenes 30 and 31 occurs almost quantitatively in the presence of trace amounts of CF₃CO₂H. Without acid, 27 rearranges slowly in toluene at 70 °C to the vinylidene isomer 29 that subsequently reacts with CF_3CO_2H to afford 30. The isomerization of 27-29 is strongly facilitated by UV irradiation in hexane and the same is true for the water abstraction from 27 to give 30 [11]. The iridium allenylidenes 30 and 31 are deeply colored, only moderately air-sensitive solids, which can be stored under argon at room temperature for weeks. Since in the ¹H-, ¹³C- and ³¹P-NMR spectra of **30** only one set of signals for the hydrogen, carbon and phosphorus atoms of the PiPr₃ ligands is observed, we assume in agreement with bonding considerations [20] that the barrier for rotation around the Ir-C bond is quite small on the

In contrast to the vinylidene complexes trans-[IrCl(= C=CRR')(PiPr₃)₂] (5, 17), the allenylidene derivatives 30 and 31 do not react with organolithium compounds R''Li (R'' = Me, Ph, C = CPh) by substitution of the chloro ligand. If instead of R"Li the corresponding Grignard reagent R''MgX (X = Br or I) is used, a mixture of products is formed, among which the bromo or iodo complexes *trans*- $[IrX = C = C = C(Ph)R(PiPr_3)_2]$ (X=Br, I) were the major components. These compounds (illustrated with 30 as the starting material) have been obtained in nearly quantitative yields by salt metathesis from the chloro complex and NaBr or KI [19]. The preparation of the azido-, cyanato-, thiocyanato- and hydroxoiridium(I) derivatives 32-39 takes place on a similar route (Scheme 8). In analogy to the metal vinylidenes 18 and 19 (see Scheme 5), the azido compounds 38 and 39 react smoothly in acetone with carbon monoxide to afford the complexes 40 and 41 in excellent yields [19]. Since the migratory step is not directly accompanied by the elimination of N_2 , the functionalized iridium alkynyls can be isolated and have been fully characterized. The proposed structure is supported by the appearance of two strong absorptions in the IR spectra at, respectively, 2098 and 1933 cm^{-1} for 40 and at 2104 and 1932 cm⁻¹ for 41, assigned to the $v(N_3)$ and v(CO) stretching modes.

The thermal stability of the products 40 and 41 generated by migratory insertion is remarkably different. While solutions of 41 in benzene can be stirred for 24 h at room temperature without decomposition or rearrangement, the diphenyl derivative 40 is relatively labile and, under the same conditions, slowly reacts to give first a (non-isolated) intermediate and then the new exceedingly stable carbonyl(vinyl) complex 42 (see Scheme 8). Owing to the spectroscopic data, we assume that the intermediate is the four-coodinate allenyliridium(I) compound trans-[Ir{C(N₃)=C=CPh₂}(CO)-





(P*i*Pr₃)₂] generated by migration of the N₃ unit from the γ -carbon to the α -carbon atom of the C₃ moiety. Subsequent elimination of N₂ from the intermediate accompanied (or followed) by a 1,2-shift of the metal along the C=C=CPh₂ chain would lead to **42**. Notably, a rhodium complex related to **42** with *p*-anisyl instead of phenyl substituents at the terminal carbon atom is known and has been characterized by X-ray crystallography [17].

Likewise to the vinylidene counterpart 9, the allenylidene(hydroxo)iridium(I) compounds 36 and 37 also behave as Broensted bases. Some examples of the reactions illustrating this property are outlined in Scheme 9. It should be emphasized that the phenolato complex 44 reacts analogously to the azido derivatives 38 and 39 with CO by migratory insertion to give the functionalized iridium(I) alkynyl 45 in 83% yield [19]. The trifluoroacetato compound *trans*-[Ir(κ^1 -O₂CCF₃){= C=C=C(Ph)*t*Bu}(P*i*Pr₃)₂], prepared from 37 and CF₃CO₂H by acid-base reaction, also reacts with CO but in this case, in contrast to 45, no analytically pure product could be isolated.

Under similar conditions as used for the synthesis of **43** and **44**, the neutral allenylidene(fluoro)- and the cationic allenylidene(pyridine)iridium(I) complexes **46** and **47** have been obtained. The key to success for the preparation of **46** (a species which according to the HSAB concept of Pearson [21] should be rather labile) is the use of NEt₃·3HF, which has been shown for the first time by Grushin et al. to be a versatile fluorinating reagent in late-transition metal chemistry [22]. Besides a small difference in the Ir–P distances of **46** and the

chloro analogue **30**, the crucial bond lengths (Ir–C1, C1–C2, C2–C3) and angles (Ir–C1–C2, C1–C2–C3, X–Ir–C1) of the two compounds are almost the same indicating that the electronic influence of the chloro or fluoro ligand in *trans* disposition to the allenylidene unit is quite small [19].

Attempts to perform substitution reactions of the hydroxo complexes 36 and 37 in methanol as the solvent led to an unusual result (see Scheme 9). After dissolving one of the starting materials in CH₃OH at room temperature, in a fairly short period of time a change of color from orange or green to pale brown takes place and, after recrystallization of the crude product from pentane, the octahedral allenvldihydrido compounds 48 and 49 are obtained in about 90% yield [19]. Since the NMR spectra of 48 and 49 display only a single resonance for the two Ir-H protons and only one signal for the two phosphorus atoms, there is no doubt that the two hydrides as well as the two PiPr₃ ligands are in trans disposition. The fact that treatment of 36 with CD₃OD the tris(deuterio) derivative exclusively vields $[IrD_2(CD=CH=CPh_2)(CO)(PiPr_3)_2]$ supports the assumption that the carbonyl as well as the hydrido ligands of 48 and 49 are generated by fragmentation of the alcohol.

The reaction of the allenylidene(hydroxo) complexes **36** and **37** with acidic 1-alkynes HC=CR' takes a different course to that of the vinylidene counterpart **9** (see Scheme 3). While we anticipated that the alkynyl compounds trans-[Ir(C=CR'){=C=C(Ph)tBu}-(PiPr_3)_2] would be formed, the isolated products ob-



Scheme 10.

tained after chromatographic workup are the novel fivecoordinate iridium(III) complexes 50–53 (Scheme 10). The X-ray crystal structure analysis of 50 confirmed that the coordination geometry around the metal center is square-pyramidal and that the σ -bonded allenvlvinyl unit, possibly generated via the insertion of one phenylacetylene molecule into a Ir-CH=C=CPh2 bond, occupies the apical position [23]. It should be mentioned that in contrast to 50, 52 and 53 the ³¹P-NMR spectrum of 51 is temperature-dependent, which is probably due to the linkage of two different substituents (Ph and tBu) at the terminal carbon atom of the C₅ chain. Provided that the rotation around the C-C single bond between the vinyl and allenyl moieties is slow on the NMR time scale, the two phosphine ligands are stereochemically non-equivalent and thus two separate ³¹P-NMR signals should appear. The fact that a similar phenomenon is not observed for 53 could be due to the smaller size of the CO_2Me group. The reaction of 36 with $DC \equiv CPh$ affords the bis(deuterio) derivative $[Ir(C=CPh)_2 \{CD=$ $C(Ph)-CD=C=CPh_2$ (PiPr_3), the ¹H-NMR spectrum of which does not exhibit a resonance for an IrCH or $CH = C = CPh_2$ proton [19].

Taking into consideration that the bonding capabilities of CO and unsaturated carbenes :C=CRR' and :C= C=CRR' are similar [24], we also attempted to find out whether the iridium allenylidenes trans-[IrCl(=C=C= CRR')(PiPr₃)₂] would react in analogy to the Vaskatype compounds *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(PR₃)₂] (R = Me, Ph) with electrophilic substrates such as CH₃I, HX or H₂ by oxidative addition. Although we learned that this is partly true, the outcome of these investigations was rather surprising indeed. First we observed that methyl iodide behaves as a source of CH_2 toward the Ir=C=C=CPh₂ derivatives 30 and 54 (Scheme 11). Treatment of these compounds with excess CH₃I in benzene at room temperature leads without the presence of a base to the formation of the butatriene complexes 55 and 56 in high yield [25]. The proposed stereochemistry including the coordination of the metal to the central C=C bond of the butatriene was substantiated by an X-ray crystal



Scheme 11.

structure analysis of 55. As expected, the C₄ chain is bent possessing C-C-C bond angles that are similar to those in related butatrienerhodium(I) complexes [26]. Regarding the mechanism of the reactions of 30 and 54 with CH₃I, we assume that in the initial step the anticipated oxidative addition of the electrophile at the iridium center takes place followed by the insertion of the allenvlidene unit into the Ir-CH₃ bond. The soformed intermediate could react via a β -H shift to afford a butatriene(hydrido)iridium(III) species which reductively eliminates HI to yield the product. There is precedence for the insertion step insofar as both we [10] and Fryzuk et al. [27] found that the vinylideneiridium(I) compounds trans-[IrCl($=C=CH_2$)(PiPr₃)₂] and $[Ir(=C=CH_2)\{\eta^3-N(SiMe_2CH_2PPh_2)_2\}]$ react with methyl iodide to give the five-coordinate vinyl comtrans-[IrCl(I){C(CH₃)=CH₂)}(PiPr₃)₂] plexes and $[IrI{C(CH_3)=CH_2}{\eta^3-N(SiMe_2CH_2PPh_2)_2}], \text{ respec-}$ tively. In these cases, a subsequent β -H shift does not occur.

The allenvlidene ligand of 30 can be converted not only to a butatriene but also to an allene. Passing a slow stream of H₂ through a solution of **30** in CH₂Cl₂ affords very cleanly the five-coordinate complex 57 (Scheme 12) in which, as indicated by the NMR spectroscopic data and confirmed crystallographically, the unsubstituted double bond of the allene is coordinated to the metal [11]. Under the conditions to generate 57, no hydrogenation of the allene ligand takes place. However, if a solution of 57 in benzene is stirred for 36 h under a hydrogen atmosphere, the 3,3-diphenylallene is transformed into the olefin CH₃CH=CPh₂, while the dihydridoiridium(III) derivative 1 used for the preparation of 30 is reformed. One should note that during the various steps of the processes, shown in Schemes 7 and 12, the corresponding propargylic alcohol $HC \equiv$ $CCPh_2(OH)$ is converted to the trisubstituted olefin CH₃CH=CPh₂.

The remarkable reactivity of the iridium allenylidenes 30 and 31 toward Broensted acids is illustrated in



Scheme 12. With HCl in benzene, an oxidative addition at the metal center occurs and the allenylidene(hydrido)iridium(III) compounds **58** and **59** are formed in practically quantitative yields [25]. The diphenylcarbene complex *trans*-[IrCl(=CPh₂)(PiPr₃)₂] behaves similarly and upon treatment with HCl affords *trans*-[IrHCl₂(= CPh₂)(PiPr₃)₂] [28]. The X-ray crystal structure analysis of **58** revealed that the coordination geometry around iridium corresponds to a slightly distorted octahedron in which the P–Ir–P axis is somewhat bent toward the hydride. The two chloro ligands are *cis* disposed, the bond length Ir–Cl1 (*trans* to C₃Ph₂) being ca. 0.07 Å shorter than the distance Ir–Cl2 (*trans* to hydride).

In contrast to the reactions of **30** and **31** with HCl, those of the same starting materials with CF₃CO₂H take a different course. Instead of six-coordinate iridium(III), four-coordinate iridium(I) compounds **60** and **61** are generated in which, as already indicated by the IR spectra, an allenylidene ligand is no longer present. Based on the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data, complemented by NOE measurements, we conclude that trifluoroacetic acid reacts like a nucleophile (e.g. ROH, RNH₂) with **30**, **31** and afford, via addition of the proton to the β -C and of the carboxylate anion to the α -C atom of the IrC₃ fragment, the carbene derivatives. Both **60** and **61** were isolated in ca. 90% yield [25].

While the 1:1 adducts 60 and 61, if dissolved in benzene, are non-electrolytes, solutions of the same iridium(I) complexes in CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃NO₂ exhibit a significant conductivity. The Λ values (in cm² Ω^{-1} mol^{-1}) measured in nitromethane point to a nearly complete dissociation of the neutral compounds into the ionic species 62a and 63a (see Scheme 12). Since in solution the carbyne-metal cations and the trifluoroacetate anion are in equilibrium with the undissociated carbene complexes, the attempts to isolate salt-like products of the composition [IrCl{=CCH= C(Ph)R{ $(PiPr_3)_2$] CF_3CO_2 failed.

However, the cations can be trapped if the precursors **60** and **61** are dissolved in methanol and one equivalent of NaBPh₄ is added. The isolated solids **62b** and **63b** have been characterized by elemental analysis, conductivity measurements and spectroscopic techniques. The chemical shift of the triplet resonance for the metalbonded carbon atom, observed in the ¹³C-NMR spectra of **62b** and **63b** in CD₂Cl₂, is quite similar to that of the carbyneosmium(II) [OsHCl₂(\equiv CCH=CMe₂)(PiPr₃)₂] [29] and also of the carbyneiridium(I) complexes *trans*-[IrCl(\equiv CCH₂R)(PiPr₃)₂]BF₄ (R = H, Ph), the latter being prepared by protonation of the iridium(I) vinylidenes *trans*-[IrCl(=C=CHR)(PiPr₃)₂] with HBF₄ [10].

4. $[IrC_4]$: the counterparts of pentatetraenes

While the tracks for the metal-assisted conversion of terminal alkynes HC=CR to vinylidenes and of propargylic alcohols HC = CCR(R')OH to allenylidenes have already been laid in the late 1970s and early 1980s by Antonova and coworkers [30] and Selegue [18], prior to our work it was not clear, how a :C=C=C=CRR' ligand can be generated in the coordination sphere of a transition metal. One of the first precursors that we used was the alkynylketone $HC \equiv CC(O)CHPh_2$ which in principle could be transformed to the cumulenylidene fragment C₄Ph₂ by elimination of water. However, although the alkynylketone as well as the respective enol acetate $HC \equiv CC(OAc) = CPh_2$ react with the dihydrido compound 1 to give the expected functionalized alkynyl(hydrido)- and vinylideneiridium complexes, all attempts to convert these species into the target molecule trans-[IrCl(= $C=C=C=CPh_2$)(PiPr₃)₂] (64) failed.

The key to success was the use of the enol triflate $HC \equiv CC(OTf) = CPh_2$ ($Tf = CF_3SO_2$) which contains a better leaving group at the γ -carbon atom of the substituted alkyne than the related enol acetate. Treating a solution of the starting material 1 and one equivalent of NEt₃ in hexane at -100 °C with the enol triflate led after warming to -30 °C, separation of the ammonium salt [HNEt₃](OTf) and recrystallization of the crude product from acetone to the IrC₄ complex **64**, which was isolated as an olive-green, only moder-



Scheme 13.

ately air-sensitive solid in 77% yield (Scheme 13). The Xray crystal structure analysis confirmed that the unsaturated IrC₄ chain is nearly linear and deviates only weakly at the metal-bonded carbon atom from the ideal value of 180°. The lengths of the two internal C=C double bonds are almost identical (1.283(8) and 1.275(8) Å), whereas the terminal C=C bond is slightly longer (1.339(8) Å) [9].

Similarly to the iridium allenylidenes **30** and **31**, the butatrienylidene complex **64** also reacts with CF₃CO₂H and HCl in unpolar solvents such as benzene. In the case of the trifluoroacetic acid, the attack of the electrophile is directed to the C_β-C_γ bond of the IrC₄ chain and affords the substituted vinylvinylidene derivative **65** in 87% yield. Treatment of **65** with a second equivalent of CF₃CO₂H leads to a mixture of products which could not be separated by fractional crystallization or chromatographic techniques.

In contrast to the reaction of 64 with CF_3CO_2H , the reaction of 64 with HCl proceeds in the molar ratio of 1:2 and gives the five-coordinate, thermally very stable dichloroiridium(III) complex 66 (see Scheme 13). Owing to the twofold addition of HCl, the butatrienvlidene unit is transformed to a butadienyl ligand which is σ -bonded to the metal center. From a mechanistic point of view, we assume that in the initial step of the reaction a vinylvinylidene compound *trans*-[IrCl{=C=CH-C(Cl)= CPh_2 (PiPr₃)₂, structurally analogous to 65, is generated which subsequently reacts with a second molecule of HCl by attack at the Ir=C bond. Support for the formation of the supposed intermediate is provided by the ¹³C-NMR spectra of the reacting system which show the appearance and disappearance of two triplets having nearly the same chemical shifts and coupling constants as the isolated species 65.

The IrC_4 complex **64** undergoes salt metathesis reactions with KI, KOH and NaN₃ to afford the substitution products **67–69** (Scheme 14), of which the



hydroxo derivative, in agreement with our earlier findings, behaves as a Broensted base. It does not only react with phenol to give *trans*-[Ir(OPh)(=C=C=C= CPh₂)(P*i*Pr₃)₂] but also initiates the fragmentation of methanol into CO and three hydrogen atoms. Two of these are coordinated as hydrides to the metal center of the octahedral iridium(III) compound **70**, while the third is bonded to the α -carbon atom of the C₄ chain, thus converting the butatrienylidene to a butatrienyl ligand [31].

Quite remarkably, the azido complex **69** as well as the methyliridium(I) counterpart **71** react with CO by migratory insertion (Scheme 15). In contrast to the allenylidene derivatives **30** and **31**, which upon treatment with CH₃Li yield a mixture of products, the reaction of **64** with methyllithium in pentane at -78 °C gives almost exclusively the extremely airsensitive complex **71** [31]. Diagnostic for the metalbonded CH₃ group is a triplet at δ 1.56 in the ¹H-NMR



spectrum, the position of which is similar to that of the vinylidene analogue **12** (see Scheme 4).

Treating the in situ generated compound 71 with CO leads to the formation of the carbonyliridium(I) complex 72 which, however, does not have the supposed trans- $[Ir{C(CH_3)=C=C=CPh_2}(CO)$ composition $(PiPr_3)_2$]. An alkynyl-substituted vinyl derivative is obtained instead, the molecular structure of which has been confirmed crystallographically [31]. Due to steric constrains, caused by the bulky PiPr₃ ligands and the $CH_3C \equiv C - C = CPh_2$ unit, the coordination geometry around the metal is distorted square-planar with bond angles P-Ir-P and C-Ir-C of, respectively, 167.64(4) and $174.89(18)^{\circ}$. The two phosphines are *trans* to each other with an eclipsed conformation along the P-Ir-P axis. The distance between iridium and the vinyl carbon atom is significantly longer than in 64 but comparable to that in 13.

The migratory insertion reaction of the azidoiridium(I) species 69 with CO affords in the first step the functionalized alkynyl complex 73 (Scheme 15), which after chromatographic workup can be isolated as a vellow, moderately air-sensitive solid in good yield. However, when we attempted to further purify 73 by recrystallization we observed that in solution a slow rearrangement of the initially formed product takes place. The conversion to the isomer 74 is completed after stirring a solution of 73 in benzene for 36 h at room temperature. In contrast to 73, the IR spectrum of 74 displays an intense v(C=C=C) band at 1992 cm⁻¹, that is at a similar position as found for 70. It is interesting to note that the postulated allenyliridium(I) compound trans-[Ir{ $C(N_3)=C=CPh_2$ }(CO)(PiPr_3)_2], generated from 40 (see Scheme 8) and related to 74, is extremely labile and rapidly looses dinitrogen to give 42 [19]. Under the same conditions, the butatrienvl complex 74 is exceedingly stable and reacts neither under UV irradiation nor in refluxing benzene by elimination of N₂.

5. [IrC₅]: the presently last member of the [IrC_n] family

The synthesis of the wanted product *trans*-[IrCl(=C= C=C=C=CPh₂)(P*i*Pr₃)₂] (77) created an equal challenge as that of the IrC₄ counterpart. While attempts to prepare the diynyl(hydrido) complex 75 using the intermediate generated from [IrCl(C₈H₁₄)₂]₂ and P*i*Pr₃ as precursor failed, the dihydridoiridium(III) species 1 reacts with the unsaturated alcohol HC=CC= CCPh₂(OH) in pentane at 0 °C to give 75 as a dark red, slightly air-sensitive solid in 78% isolated yield (Scheme 16). The IR spectrum of 75 displays characteristic absorptions at 3570, 2240 and 2180 cm⁻¹, which are assigned to the v(OH), v(IrH) and v(C=C) vibrational modes.



Upon UV irradiation in benzene, a slow rearrangement of **75** to the red-violet vinylidene isomer **76** takes place. Although **75** and **76** are near relatives to the IrC_3 compounds **27** and **29** (see Scheme 7), both of which react with traces of trifluoroacetic acid to give the iridiacumulene **30**, all attempts to eliminate water from **75** or **76** using CF₃CO₂H or acidic Al₂O₃ as abstracting reagents remained unsuccessful. Since we observed in the course of these studies that **75** (and even more **76**) decompose in the presence of acids, we reasoned that in order to achieve elimination of H₂O acidic substrates have to be avoided.

The successful route to obtain the IrC_5 complex 77 is somewhat similar to that used for the IrC₄ analogue 64 (see Scheme 13). Treatment of a solution of 75 in toluene at -78 °C with an equimolar amount of (CF₃SO₂)₂O followed, after warming to room temperature, by the addition of two equivalents of NEt₃ afforded a dark reaction mixture from which copper-brown crystals with the analytical composition corresponding to 77 could be isolated in 80% yield [32]. The IrC_5 compound (being the second representative of MC₅ cumulenes [33]) is thermally quite stable and decomposes at 132 °C. We note that solutions of 77 display different colors from yellow through rose to violet depending on the solvent and the concentration. Characteristic spectroscopic features of 77 are the five ¹³C-NMR signals for the carbon atoms of the IrC₅ chain which appear between δ 245–133 and, owing to ${}^{13}C-{}^{31}P$ coupling, are all split into symmetrical triplets.

The X-ray crystal structure analysis confirmed that 77 is indeed a metallacumulene [32]. The coordination geometry around iridium is square-planar with two *trans*-disposed phosphine ligands and an almost linear IrC₅ chain. The Ir–C bond length is 1.834(5) Å (or 1.821(5) Å in the second independent molecule present in the unit cell) and thus slightly longer than in the IrC₄ counterpart **64** (1.818(6) Å). The shortest metal–carbon distance in the [IrC_n] family is found for the IrC₂ complex 3 (1.764(6) Å) which is in agreement with the assumption that vinylidenes are the best π -acceptor ligands in the :C(=C)_nRR' series [24].

6. Outlook

In this account, preparative routes to iridiacumulenes with backbones as shown in Scheme 1 have been presented. Although we are still at the beginning to learn what the potential of these compounds is, possibly as materials with non-linear optical properties or as precursors for metal-containing copolymers, it is quite obvious that the reactivity of complexes of the general composition $[M \{=C(=C)_n RR'\}(L)_n]$ toward both electrophilic and nucleophilic substrates is worth to be investigated. From a recent DFT study using the model system $[Cr{=C(=C)_nH_2}(CO)_5]$ (n = 1-8), Sgamellotti and coworkers concluded that the dissociation energies for the metal-cumulenylidene bond is essentially independent of the chain length [34]. Owing to these calculations it appears that there is no thermodynamic upper limit regarding the cumulene chain lengths which means that the synthetic difficulties experienced in the preparation of metal complexes of the type [M] = C(= $C_n RR' (L_n)$ with chains longer than three carbon atoms are mainly due to the high reactivity of these species.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support, the Fonds in particular for a Doktoranden-Stipendium (to K.I.). Moreover, we are indebted to Dr Olaf Gevert, Dr Matthias Laubender, Dr Paul Steinert and Dr Birgit Weberndörfer who did some of the crystallographic work. Since the investigations have been carried out in the scope of the Sonderforschungsbereich 347, we would like to express our gratitude to several members of other research groups being integrated into the SFB, in particular to Professor Wolfgang Kiefer and Professor Dietmar Stalke and their coworkers. Continuous support by the Board of the University of Würzburg is also gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] (a) H. Werner, Angew. Chem. 95 (1983) 932;
- (b) H. Werner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 22 (1983) 927.
 [2] (a) J. Wolf, H. Werner, O. Serhadli, M.L. Ziegler, Angew. Chem. 95 (1983) 428;
 - (b) J. Wolf, H. Werner, O. Serhadli, M.L. Ziegler, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 22 (1983) 414.

- [3] (a) F.J. García Alonso, A. Höhn, J. Wolf, H. Otto, H. Werner, Angew. Chem. 97 (1985) 401; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 24 (1985) 406;
 (b) H. Werner, F.J. García Alonso, H. Otto, J. Wolf, Z. Naturforsch. B 43 (1988) 722;
 (c) H. Werner, U. Brekau, Z. Naturforsch. B 44 (1989) 1438;
 (d) T. Rappert, O. Nürnberg, N. Mahr, J. Wolf, H. Werner, Organometallics 11 (1992) 4156;
 (e) T. Rappert, O. Nürnberg, H. Werner, Organometallics 12 (1993) 1359.
 [4] Theoretical work: Y. Wakatsuki, N. Koga, H. Werner, K.
- [4] Theoretical work: Y. Wakatsuki, N. Koga, H. Werner, K. Morokuma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 360.
- [5] Short reviews: (a) H. Werner, Nachr. Chem. Tech. Lab. 40 (1992) 435;
 - (b) H. Werner, J. Organomet. Chem. 475 (1994) 45.
- [6] Recent work: (a) H. Werner, R. Wiedemann, N. Mahr, P. Steinert, J. Wolf, Chem. Eur. J. 2 (1996) 561;
 (b) H. Werner, R. Wiedemann, P. Steinert, J. Wolf, Chem. Eur. J. 3 (1997) 127;
 (c) R. Wiedemann, R. Fleischer, D. Stalke, H. Werner, Organometallics 16 (1997) 866;
 (d) J. Gil-Rubio, B. Weberndörfer, H. Werner, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1999) 1437;
 (e) J. Gil-Rubio, M. Laubender, H. Werner, Organometallics 19 (2000) 1365.
 [7] (a) H. Werner, T. Rappert, R. Wiedemann, J. Wolf, M. Mahr,
- (b) H. Werner, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1997) 903;
 (c) J. Gil-Rubio, B. Weberndörfer, H. Werner, Angew. Chem. 112 (2000) 814; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 39 (2000) 786;
 (d) H. Werner, R. Wiedemann, M. Laubender, B. Windmüller, J. Wolf, Chem. Eur. J. 7 (2001) 1959;
 (e) H. Werner, R. Wiedemann, M. Laubender, B. Windmüller, P. Steinert, O. Gevert, J. Wolf, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 6966.
- [8] I. Kovacik, M. Laubender, H. Werner, Organometallics 16 (1997) 5607.
- [9] (a) K. Ilg, H. Werner, Angew. Chem. 112 (2000) 1691;
 (b) K. Ilg, H. Werner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 39 (2000) 1632.
- [10] A. Höhn, H. Werner, J. Organomet. Chem. 382 (1990) 255.
- [11] H. Werner, R.W. Lass, O. Gevert, J. Wolf, Organometallics 16 (1997) 4077.
- [12] H. Werner, A. Höhn, M. Schulz, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1991) 777.
- [13] H. Werner, K. Ilg, B. Weberndörfer, Organometallics 19 (2000) 3145.
- [14] (a) H.E. Bryndza, W. Tam, Chem. Rev. 88 (1988) 1163;
 (b) J.R. Fulton, A.W. Holland, D.J. Fox, R.G. Bergman, Acc. Chem. Res. 35 (2002) 44.
- [15] J.P. Collman, L.S. Hegedus, J.R. Norton, R.G. Finke, Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry, University Science Books, Mill Valley, 1987, p. 357.
- [16] (a) L. Vaska, J. Peone, Jr., Chem. Commun. (1971) 418;
 (b) H.L.M. van Gaal, F.L.A. van den Bekerom, J. Organomet. Chem. 134 (1977) 237.
- [17] M. Laubender, H. Werner, Chem. Eur. J. 5 (1999) 2937.
- [18] J.P. Selegue, Organometallics 1 (1982) 217.
- [19] K. Ilg, H. Werner, Organometallics 20 (2001) 3782.
- [20] M.I. Bruce, Chem. Rev. 98 (1998) 2797.
- [21] (a) R.G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 3533;
 (b) R.G. Pearson, J. Chem. Educ. 45 (1968) 581.
- [22] (a) S.L. Fraser, M.Yu. Antipin, V.N. Khroustalyov, V.V. Grushin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 4769;
 (b) M.C. Pilon, V.V. Grushin, Organometallics 17 (1998) 1774.
- [23] K. Ilg, H. Werner, Organometallics 18 (1999) 5426.

- [24] (a) B.E.R. Schilling, R. Hoffmann, D.L. Lichtenberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101 (1979) 585;
 (b) N.M. Kostic, R.F. Fenske, Organometallics 1 (1982) 974.
- [25] K. Ilg, H. Werner, Chem. Eur. J. 7 (2001) 4633.
- [26] (a) L. Hagelee, R. West, J. Calabrese, J. Norman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101 (1979) 4888;
 - (b) P.J. Stang, M.R. White, G. Maas, Organometallics 2 (1983) 720.
- [27] M.D. Fryzuk, L. Huang, N.T. McManus, P. Paglia, S.J. Rettig, G.S. White, Organometallics 11 (1992) 2979.
- [28] D.A. Ortmann, B. Weberndörfer, K. Ilg, M. Laubender, H. Werner, Organometallics 21 (2002) 2369.
- [29] (a) J. Espuelas, M.A. Esteruelas, F.J. Lahoz, L.A. Oro, N. Ruiz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 4683;

(b) M. Bourgault, A. Castillo, M.A. Esteruelas, E. Onate, N. Ruiz, Organometallics 16 (1997) 636.

- [30] (a) N.E. Kolobova, A.B. Antonova, O.M. Khitrova, M.Yu. Antipin, Yu.T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem. 137 (1977) 55;
 (b) A.B. Antonova, N.E. Kolobova, P.V. Petrovsky, B.V. Lokshin, N.S. Obezyuk, J. Organomet. Chem. 137 (1977) 69;
 (c) A.B. Antonova, A.A. Johansson, Usp. Khim. 58 (1989) 1197.
- [31] K. Ilg, H. Werner, Chem. Eur. J. 8 (2002) 2812.
- [32] R.W. Lass, P. Steinert, J. Wolf, H. Werner, Chem. Eur. J. 2 (1996) 19.
- [33] For the preparation of [Ru]=C=C=C=C=CPh₂, where [Ru] is [RuCl(dppe)₂]⁺, see: D. Touchard, P. Haquette, A. Daridor, L. Toupet, P.H. Dixneuf, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116 (1994) 11157.
- [34] N. Re, A. Sgamellotti, C. Floriani, Organometallics 19 (2000) 1115.